



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/619,007	7 07/14/2003 Albert Che		Albert Chenouda Salib	ouda Salib 203-0815 (FGT-1868PA)	
28549	7590	08/11/2004		EXAMINER	
KEVIN G.		VA		LOUIS JACQUE	S, JACQUES H
ARTZ & AI 28333 TELI		ROAD, SUITE 250		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SOUTHFIE				3661	

DATE MAILED: 08/11/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		10/619,007	SALIB ET AL.
VY	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
1		Jacques H Louis-Jacques	3661
7 a a l a al a	The MAILING DATE of this communication for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address -
	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R	FPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MC	ONTH(S) FROM
THE	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION	ON.	• •
	tensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Cl er SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatio		ply be timely filed
	he period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p		
- Fa	ilure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by y reply received by the Office later than three months after the	statute, cause the application to become ABA	ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
	med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		,,
Status			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	14 July 2003.	
2a) <u></u>	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠	This action is non-final.	
3)[	] Since this application is in condition for all	owance except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the merits
	closed in accordance with the practice und	der <i>Ex par</i> te <i>Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.
Dispos	ition of Claims		
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the applicat	ion.	•
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	ndrawn from consideration.	
5)⊠	Claim(s) <u>8-18</u> is/are allowed.		
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 4-7</u> is/are rejected.		
,	Claim(s) <u>3</u> is/are objected to.		
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement.	
Applica	ition Papers		
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Example 2	miner.	
10)[	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□	accepted or b)  objected to b	y the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyand	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	prrection is required if the drawing(s	s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12

#### Driggity under 25 H S C S 440

only under 35 0.5.C. 9 119
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> </ol>
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
* Con the etterhed detailed Office action for a list of the postified acrise and received

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s	;)
--------------	----

,	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
	Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) 🔯	Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
	Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>8/28/03, 4/1/04</u> .

4)		Interview Summary (PTO-413)
		Paper No(s)/Mail Date
E۱	ГΊ	Notice of Informal Datast Applicati

5) | Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

6)		Other:	
----	--	--------	--

Application/Control Number: 10/619,007 Page 2

Art Unit: 3661

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 3 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 3 recites the limitation "the wheel departure angle" in line 6. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 8 recites the limitation "the reference bank angle" in line 7. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

4. Claims 1-2, 4-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Chubb et al [6,593,849]

The applied reference has a common assignee with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

Chubb et al discloses a method of controlling fro a vehicle (10), wherein a relative roll angle is determined (columns 3 and 4), it is determined whether the vehicle is in a transitional maneuver (column 3) and when the vehicle is in a transitional maneuver, a roll control signal for the relative roll angle is set (column 2). Chubb et al also discloses determining wheel lift status and a wheel departure angle determination. In addition, Chubb et al. further discloses that the vehicle transition being a right to left transition or a left to right transition (figs. 2A - 2F).

Art Unit: 3661

5. Claims 1-2, 4-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Takagi et al [6,324,458].

Page 4

Takagi et al discloses a device fir controlling vehicle turn behavior with discrimination of direction. According to Takagi et al, there is provided determining when the vehicle is in a transitional maneuver (column 1), determining a relative roll angle (condition), and when the vehicle is in the transitional maneuver, setting a roll control signal for the roll angle. As described in column 2, the relative roll angle is compared to a threshold value. Furthermore, according to Takagi et al, safety control system, engine and brake systems care controlled in response to the roll control signal.

6. Claims 1-2, 4-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Meyers et al [US 2003/0163231].

Meyers et al discloses a roll over stability control for an automotive vehicle. According to Meyers et al, there is provided determining roll condition (figure 8, page 4), determining when the vehicle is in a transitional maneuver (page 1), and when the vehicle is a transitional maneuver setting a roll control signal for the relative roll angle (figures 7, 8). Meyers et al also discloses determining when the inside wheels of the vehicle are grounded when the vehicle a transitional maneuver to set the roll control signal for the relative roll angle. Furthermore, Meyers et al discloses operating a safety system in response to the roll control signal (pages 4 and 5).

Application/Control Number: 10/619,007 Page 5

Art Unit: 3661

## Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claim 3 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

8. Claims 8-18 are allowed.

#### Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

US20030182025	Tseng et al	Sep. 2003
US20030055549	Barta et al	Mar. 2003
6.678.631	Schiffmann	Ian 2004

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jacques H Louis-Jacques whose telephone number is 703-305-9757. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 6:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Black can be reached on 703-305-8233. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3661

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jacques H Louis-Jacques Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3661

/jlj